

Double Dagger

US3R665285	02-DEC-20_23:16:23	No.71626	<p>There's a thin line now between the art of reporting and the art of covering up the facts. You'd be cynically surprised at the amount of journalists, and I know you hate that word, who are eyeing the exits on the Covid narrative. There's more than few LinkedIns that are being taken down over the sea change in reporting. The CDC and Johns Hopkins haven't helped by wantonly debunking themselves in recent weeks with either denials or refutations via 'new studies' that have shot some rather embarrassing holes in the official story. Bear in mind that the media were assured from the off that what they were going to be reporting was "settled science", and they have run with this, deploying all ideological forces in a sort of old testament style doom and gloom prophecy splurge. Simply not reporting the awkward facts is always the best policy for being dishonest, but when you've gone down the rabbit hole and reported variable information as fact, you have to reverse ferret back out of the burrow! It's never pretty and nobody wins. We end up with the situation we're in today where the press are damned if they do and definitely damned when they don't. It's making people yearn for the Youtube mentality of being an opinion-provider with no comeback on the eventual outcome. With falling circulation, pay cuts (yes, really!) and the tightrope of diversity quotas and the risk of hate speech on Twitter, there's probably never been a worse time to be a journalist.</p>
US3R_BURST	02-DEC-20_23:18:12	No.71627	<p>^71626 Is there a policy, or a protocol maybe, for how to report on the US election? The media have gone ahead and crowned Biden as President Elect and are gaslighting like you wouldn't believe. Is there a plan for a climb down?</p>
US3R665285	02-DEC-20_23:20:01	No.71628	<p>^71627 In my opinion, the playbook hasn't changed since last July, and it was restated at the TIP (Transition Integrity Project) wargame thing. They openly said they were going to use the media to gaslight the public with endless drivel about Biden having won after it looked like a Trump landslide. It was right out there in the open. They now have a path to plausible deniability after their 'experts' said that Trump is such a criminal that he will not concede (which he didn't) that he would hold it up in the courts (which he is) and would then use a rigged Supreme Court to declare him a winner (which, as of this time, he appears to be lining up). To them, the reported fact that they said that he would do it, and now he HAS, constitutes proof that he rigged his own re-election. The media is primed to report that 'Trump stole the election', which of course is the very thing the Democrats did with their comprehensive multilateral plan.</p>
US3R_BURST	02-DEC-20_23:21:33	No.71629	<p>So, in answer to the question... no climb down! Just more outrage and presumably a return to rioting?</p>
US3R763911	02-DEC-20_23:21:59	No.71630	<p>Are you the only one who reads the information this way? I can't believe that people who deal with the information all day, can't see it.</p>
US3R665285	02-DEC-20_23:22:18	No.71631	<p>^71629 Of course. Outrage sells clicks to advertisers. They honestly don't care as long as there is "news." And for ^71630, we're in a world of political correctness and hate speech. You can lose your job over mud slinging, you can be cancelled from every social media account that matters to your career, not for being contrary to the narrative, but for actively not supporting it! We are in truly Stalinist times, where the crime can be made to fit the individual. You can lose it all for NOT being enthusiastic enough. That's a frightening thing for a lot of people who genuinely fear the loss of their social group, even if they must be horrifically shallow to ditch people for nothing.</p>