

1900 Club

US3R719563	23-OCT-20_06:44:18	No.47343	I don't contribute to any government forums or policy groups, and haven't done so for many years. We have now, in my experience, and it's more than an opinion, completely subverted public scientific enquiry in the face of overwhelming pressure from national, and even worse, international controlling bodies. What this means is that 'science,' as a discipline, has been hopelessly split, forever, into two factions. There is pure science, which means knowledge, and is based upon neutral enquiry into the facts. It is an entirely vocational pursuit and the notion of a 'career' is incidental to the scientific findings. The idea of 'getting rich' from science is not a controlling factor for the pure scientist. Then there is 'commercial science', which is funded, promoted and rewarded by monied concerns who are interested in data that can be drawn from particular fields. Whenever you hear a politician saying 'listen to the scientists', or 'the science is settled,' you may know that they are referring to commercial scientists who are receiving money from somewhere to pursue a particular data set. By the very nature of pure science, anything with which these people offer to back the politicians, is tainted by their association with a political direction and the funding which fuels it. If the findings of a scientist run contrary to the political narrative, then that data will be ignored.
US3R_MARCH	23-OCT-20_06:49:38	No.47344	^47343 "data will be ignored." We see this with the open letters sent to governments regarding the so-called Covid - 600 odd signatories in Belgium, 1000 in the States, and then there's the Great Barrington Declaration with literally 10s of thousands of signatories from medical professionals. All questioning the government scientists, but ignored by the world's governments, and significantly by the press.
US3R442637	23-OCT-20_06:51:17	No.47345	^47344 If you ever needed proof that the media were working with government, maybe both being controlled by the same forces (?), then the coronavirus data is the smoking gun. The truth is in plain sight for anyone who can be bothered to look it up. And there is the pivotal point. Western governments are always elected to office by a minority. They rely upon the majority being split into different 'oppositions', none of which are supposed to have a chance of being first past the post. The corona scam is imposed on the majority of the public through the compliance of a faithful minority of scared, stupid and compliant believers. You only have to fool some of the people all of the time in order to create a window of opportunity to seize power.
US3R_MARCH	23-OCT-20_06:55:12	No.47346	^47345 Let's hold that thought, and use the time we have to mop up questions with ██████████
US3R833756	23-OCT-20_06:59:01	No.47347	^47343 Hi. With Manchester now in revolt over the latest regional lockdown, where are the government prepared to take this? Armed police? The army? People have had enough taking the piss. They're getting angry.
US3R178365	23-OCT-20_07:00:43	No.47348	The courts are backing this coup. There is no legal response for the country to make. As in colonial regimes where the law is imposed from somewhere outside the national constitution, the only course of action open to the people is non-compliance. I wonder, in this case if that is also a desired outcome as it helps to hasten the destruction of the economy.
US3R719563	23-OCT-20_07:03:44	No.47349	Bringing armed response onto the streets would be considered a tactical disaster. The army have to be seen to be helping in an administrative role, and the civil enforcement has to be seen as 'soft'. The government are employing thousands of deliberately ineffectual 'marshals' who will have no power, and can be ridiculed by the public as long as there is no violent overreaction. I suppose a corollary effect, is that it instantly takes many people off the unemployment figures.