US3R127835	04-DEC-20_03:16:19	No.48263	A lot of people have been in a state of disbelief over the events of this year. They know it's happening but they can't rationalise the sequence of events. There is too much conflicting nonsense coming from the offices of the different factions. The UN, the CDC, the WHO and then, when it all filters down to the very different permission levels of information of national government structures, especially in Europe, all the media can do is dutifully parrot the official nonsenses. We are in a fog of information war, and they have no intention of letting up, or letting anyone off the hook. The public are going to be targeted ever harder and in direct contrast to the government's tone of 'helping us all defeat this terrible virus.' Sorry, but the news at the moment is that we are still falling through the depths and will not be bumping along the bottom any time soon.
US3R429593	04-DEC-20_03:18:29	No.48264	This bizarre Van Tam character seems to be laying it on the line though. He's projecting that we will never be free of beng threatened with the covid by our government, that we'll wear masks and be locked down forever. This, surely, can only happen while they are generating the conditions to shut down most of the economy, especially private business, and establish an entirely new commercial model under which we will be unable to act independently.
US3R127835	04-DEC-20_03:19:43	No.48265	Yes it is is undoubtedly linked to the Schwab doctrine of the WEF. Once the private economy has collapsed and those business owners are on the government teat, the corporations will hold power over national economies like nothing we have ever known in history. Your politicians will no longer be able to pretend that they derive their power from the consent of the governed. It will be too blatant, and I think we will move away from a public-facing policy machine, to a globalist legislation of self-interest that will honestly tell you that you are a useless eater and a carbon liability.
US3R429593	04-DEC-20_03:21:02	No.48266	I wanted to ask about that. Can they really square the circle of the 'covid hoax' and the 'cimate hoax?' Both of them contain so much invented science that at some stage they have to clash in a way that can't be reconciled.
US3R127835	04-DEC-20_03:22:43	No.48267	But reconciled by whom? The media won't report it, the newspapers right now are a joke, and the social media is being charged, at a legal level, with taking down all dissenting voices. It doesn't matter if you're Dr Mike Yeadon, a top Pfizer operative, who is probably the most authoritative voice on the validity of this new Frankenstein vaccination. He is trying to get it stopped and being stifled at every level. The general public do not have enough information to reconcile anything. There's a reason why people like you and me are meeting on a street corner of the internet in the middle of the night, like criminals who are up to no good. A time is coming when, not only will you be censured for so-called 'anti-vax' comments, but you will also be demoted if you are not openly 'pro-vax.' Don't believe me? Watch. The same censorship will be used for the climate agenda. 'Climate deniers' will lose any platform to the public that they have, including real scientists, and unless you are actively cheerleading the frankly illogical carbon nonsense, you will be de-ranked in favour of some bot that is on-message. It's one policy to suit all points on the agenda.
US3R429593	04-DEC-20_03:24:34	No.48268	What are they prepared to do after we're all deplatformed from the public internet and there's just a darknet? Do you see it as being like an InfoSection phishing exercise to winkle out the subversives, thereby keeping the dissent compartmentalised, or will it be an overt social credit situation like that Leven Levine show Submission, where "justice" is served through mob disapproval? I don't know if you know the references.

US3R127835	04-DEC-20_03:26:11	No.48269	Yes, I've read the wiki on both of those concepts. But OK, you're talking about the ongoing surveillance monitoring. First, I guarantee that despite the principle of the software that drives this channel, this communication can be intercepted at the ISP level and hived off for decryption. Whether they can decrypt it or not, or whether it's important enough to them to even do so, is another thing. So, it doesn't matter if it's published to the web. Go ahead. I do think that, unless they thave some pretty good intel, the anonymity is preserved, due to the routing. But even so, they are working with Google to test the AI on all manner of pattern recognition and something called Synaptic Flow, which seems to try and second guess what you might say and then match your known profile to fragments of speech culled from the internet. As I am not revealing my name and status, I don't think they need to 'do anything about it', because it's just words on the internet. If Facebook or Twitter were truly anonymous, they wouldn't do anything about it either, except maybe shadow ban it. They fear respected voices of authority and intelligence lending credence to subjects that the mindless media currently shout down as 'conspiracy theory'. So, at the public level, the only manifestation of the monitoring they will be directly aware of, is the presence on the streets of the army 'helping to keep us safe.' People will be intimidated by the fact that these squaddies will be wearing combat gear, camo and big boots, but won't challenge it because of the unspoken threat of violence. I'm sure somebody in the media will suggest that it's inappropriate to send gun-trained thugs to interact with the public, while wearing the clothes they normally put on to go and kill people, but even so, the police have gradually been militarised to the point where they have become the same mindless tazing machines with no sense of civic duty. It really does become more overt every time they make it clear that they will brook no dissent. I've no doubt th
------------	--------------------	----------	--